

"Market structure, counterparty risk and systemic risk" by D W R Rosenthal

Comments by K. Kauko

SUERF/Bank of Finland/CEPR/Journal of Financial Intermediation Conference

The model

- N counterparties, always one contract between any pair of counterparties => network of exposures; exogenous
- Either a central counterparty or a network of bilateral settlement obligations
- ◆ But: trading with an outsider, not counterparties among themselves during the phases covered by the analysis
- Market driven bankruptcy => losses, possible bankruptcies and hedging
- ◆ Trades affect prices, counterparties aware of the impact
- Information advantage of the CCP, knows all counterparties' positions
- ◆ CCP reduces post-bankruptcy volatility

General comments 1

- Participants' behaviour largely endogenous
 - Economics!
- Would be interesting to read comments on the relationship between this work and previous contributions
 - Reference list exceptionally short (12 papers, only 5 articles in academic journals)
 - What is completely new? What is similar to findings in previous papers?
- ♦ Checkmate, hunting...
 - Would it be possible to find real life examples of hunting?
- ◆ Trade with outsiders, not among themselves
 - An assumption probably dictated by technical reasons / model needs to be solvable

General comments 2

- Bankruptcy of the CCP not analysed
 - Could it happen? Under which circumstances?
 - Could it become topical as a worst case scenario?
 - More risks concentration in CCP:s should we be worried?
- Hardly anything about the governance structure of the CCP
 - Is the CCP an "enlightened monarch"?
 - Who controls it? Who benefits / suffers if it makes losses?
 - Common infrastructure becomes market participants' implicit cartel (Park & Ahn 1999)?
- ◆ These kinds of analyses often rely heavily on simulations, this paper is analytical

Minor comments

- ◆ Terminology
 - The abbreviation OTC refers above all to trading
- Discussion of the social costs caused by the failure of a financial institution too focused on the industry itself and its employees
 - Useless institutions no customers need their services? Who pays these institutions and for what if employees only need them?
 - Better to mention "huge social costs" in general and a good reference
 - Friedman & Schwartz or Bernanke on the Great Depression